CALL FOR OFFERS

Selection of an Evaluation Partner for an EU Sustainable Soya Awareness Campaign

Funded by the EU Agriculture Promotion Fund (AGRIP)

Tender information	Details
Reference	Donau Soja/EU Sustainable Soya/2026/02
Subject	Independent Evaluation of an Awareness Campaign in Austria & Germany on EU-grown Soya and its environmental and sustainability impact (2027–2029)
Procurement procedure	Open Call
Project / process code	EU Sustainable Soya
Contracting organisation	**Donau Soja Association** *(Association for Promoting European Soya Production – Donau Soja)* Wiesingerstrasse 6/14 1010 Vienna, Austria + 43 1 512 17 44 10 office@donausoja.org VAT: ATU67736989
Maximum available budget	EUR 121.550,00 net + VAT if applicable
Contract period	01.01.2027 – 31.12.2029 (3 years)

These tender specifications invite offers for the selection of an Evaluation Body (EB) for the implementation of an AGRIP-funded information and promotion campaign. They define the campaign tasks and deliverables, the documents tenderers must submit and the criteria used to evaluate their offers.

The procedure will be conducted in accordance with

- Guidance on competitive procedure published by European Commission Directorate-General for Agriculture and Rural Development and
- Best practice for the selection of subcontractors published as Guidance Note from the European Research Executive Agency

PROCEDURE TIMETABLE

Milestone	Date[1]	Comments
Launch date	**03/11/2026**	
Deadline for requests for clarification	**06/11/2026** at 23:59	Requests for clarification may only be submitted to news@donausoja.org. Donau Soja will reply with clarifications in the 3 following working days.
Deadline for answers to clarification questions	**12/11/2026**	Answers shared through Donau Soja Website
Deadline for receipt of tenders	**03/12/2026** at 23:59	Proposals must be sent in digital format to Donau Soja via news@donausoja.org
Opening / administrative check	**04/12/2026**	
Notification of written evaluation results	until **20/12/2026**	The outcome of the procurement procedure will be communicated to all tenderers exclusively using the e-mail address indicated in their offer. Please check regularly the inbox in question.
Contract signature	Estimated until **31/12/2026**	Conditional upon Donau Soja signing the AGRIP Grant Agreement; currently expected on 15 December 2026
Estimated Start of contract	**01/01/2027**	Indicative, conditional upon Donau Soja signing the AGRIP Grant Agreement; currently expected on 15 December 2026
End of contract	**31/12/2029**	

[1] *All times are in Central European Time (CET/CEST, Europe/Vienna)*

All communications regarding this call, including procedural, technical and content-related questions, requests for clarification and submissions of tenders, must be sent to news@donausoja.org, quoting the tender reference.
Donau Soja will publish consolidated answers to clarification questions on its website to ensure equal access to information for all tenderers.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART 1. TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS 4
1.1 Introduction 4
1.2 Background & Role of Evaluation Partner 4
1.3 Scope of services 5
1.4 Deliverables 7
1.4.1 Inception report, evaluation methodology & sampling plan 7
1.4.2 Consumer surveys 7
1.4.3 B2B Market Recognition assessment 8
1.5 Reporting & Timings 8
1.6 Ownership and intellectual property rights 9
1.7 Confidentiality and data protection 9
PART 2. EVALUATION 9
2.1 General principles and evaluation process 9
2.2 Selection procedure, criteria and scoring 9
2.2.1 Eligibility requirements (pass/fail) 9
2.2.2 Quality and price criteria (scored) 10
2.2.3 Evaluation Committee and safeguards 11
PART 3: SUBMISSION OF OFFERS 11
3.1 Submission information 11
3.2 Documentation 11
3.3 Annexes 13


PART 1. TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

What services does Donau Soja intend to procure through this procedure?

1.1 Introduction

Donau Soja (DS) is a non-profit membership organisation based in Vienna, Austria. We are the representative voice of the European soya sector and the only European inter-trade organisation dedicated exclusively to soya. Our members cover the whole value chain, from farmers and processors to feed, food and retail companies, research institutions and NGOs. Together they represent more than 50% of marketable volumes of EU-grown soya. We have more than 340 members, about 80% of them in EU Member States.

We work for the common interest of the sector. We promote sustainable production, transparency and traceability, and we connect farmers, industry and retailers. Our work includes market insights, training, advisory services and voluntary sustainability schemes. One of these schemes is the Europe Soya standard. It certifies soya produced in Europe that is free from genetic engineering (non-GMO) and deforestation-free. With the standard the entire value chain is certified and transparent. Products that carry the Europe Soya label can be found on animal products such as eggs or meat, where the animals were fed certified soya, and on plant-based products.



Donau Soja vison, mission and membership

1.2 Background & Role of Evaluation Partner

Donau Soja has been pre-selected for funding under the AGRIP-MULTI-2026-IM-SUSTAINABLE call, part of the EU agriculture promotion programme (AGRIP). The project is an awareness campaign on EU-grown, sustainable soya, running from 2027 to 2029 in Austria and Germany. Donau Soja manages the project, a professional communication agency will be subcontracted as Implementing Partner to deliver the operational activities.

This tender seeks a qualified, independent Evaluation Partner to assess the campaign against its KPIs: consumer awareness (measured through surveys) and B2B market recognition (measured through stakeholder interviews). The Evaluation Partner must remain free from any conflict of interest with Donau Soja and the Implementing Partner. The budget foreseen in the project planning is distributed equally across the years 2027 to 2029. If your offer proposes a different distribution, please include a short explanation of the reasons in technical offer. For both assessments the impact indicators are set as follows:

KPI	Type	Short-term (Y1)	Medium-term (Y3)	Evaluation
Consumer awareness	Awareness	+5% increase	+15% increase	Consumer surveys and interim tracking
B2B market recognition	Info/Behav.	10+ dialogues	30+ decision-makers	Stakeholder interviews

Conditional award

At the time of publication of this tender, the project is in the process of preparing and finalising the Grant Agreement with the relevant granting authority. The contract award is conditional on Donau Soja signing the Grant Agreement. If the Grant Agreement is not signed, or if its final terms materially change the scope, budget or timing of the campaign, Donau Soja may decide not to award the contract, cancel the procurement procedure, or revise the procurement and contract arrangements. This will follow the applicable procurement rules. Publishing this tender does not oblige Donau Soja to conclude a contract before the Grant Agreement is signed.

1.3 Scope of services

The Evaluation Partner works independently and is responsible for assessing the increase in consumer awareness (surveys) and B2B market recognition (stakeholder interviews) in both campaign target countries. The results inform ongoing implementation and enable continuous optimisation of campaign activities.

Donau Soja oversees contractual delivery, timelines and reporting. It does not oversee the Evaluation Partner's survey methods, analysis or conclusions. The Evaluation Partner must propose a suitable methodology, sampling approach, data collection instruments, analysis methods and reporting structure. The methodology must allow meaningful comparison between the baseline, interim and post-campaign measurements.

The evaluation uses a mixed-methods approach that combines quantitative metrics, qualitative stakeholder feedback, and professional market research, designed to comply with AGRIP requirements for monitoring and verifying the outreach and coverage of activities and results. Quantitative methods include consumer surveys at baseline (T0, Q1 2027), interim check after Y1 (Q1 2028) and after the campaign (T1, Q3 2029). Representative samples of at least 500 respondents in Austria and 1,000 in Germany measure aided and unaided awareness, knowledge, attitudes and behaviour. Qualitative methods include structured interviews and knowledge checks with B2B decision-makers in retail and the food industry.

The independent evaluation body conducts and analyses the baseline and post-campaign surveys and the Year 2 interim check. The informative return assessment follows AGRIP Programme Guide Annex 3 and measures the share of exposed consumers who correctly answer test questions on EU soya's sustainability attributes, European origin and environmental benefits. The target threshold is 60–70% correct identification among exposed consumers, demonstrating that campaign activities have effectively informed the target population.

Impact indicators		
Description	**Baseline**	**End of programme**
Consumer awareness increase - Austria	Independent consumer survey in Y1 (Q1/Q2 2027) establishes the Austrian baseline (n ≥ 500) for aided awareness of EU-grown soya, awareness of European origin and knowledge of practices for climate mitigation, soil fertility, biodiversity and reduced use of plant protection products.	Short-term impact in Y1: +5% relative increase from baseline in aided awareness - assessment in end of Y1 (2027) / beginning of Y2 (2028). Post-campaign impact in Y3: +15% relative increase from baseline in awareness of European origin and knowledge of practices linked to the action areas – assessment after the campaign, end of Y3 (2029).

Consumer awareness increase - Germany	Independent consumer survey in Y1 (Q1/Q2 2027) establishes the German baseline (n ≥ 1.000) for aided awareness of EU-grown soya, awareness of European origin and knowledge of practices for climate mitigation, soil fertility, biodiversity and reduced use of plant protection products.	Short-term impact in Y1: +5% relative increase from baseline in aided awareness - assessment in end of Y1 (2027) / beginning of Y2 (2028). Post-campaign impact in Y3: +15% relative increase from baseline in awareness of European origin and knowledge of practices linked to the action areas – assessment after the campaign, end of Y3 (2029).
B2B recognition – Germany & Austria	In Y1, establish the baseline through structured interviews and knowledge checks.	By Y3, engage at least 30 decision-makers across Austria and Germany. Assess changes in recognition and understanding through follow-up interviews, knowledge checks; no percentage target is set.

Main metric: Consumer Awareness

The communication campaign addresses the three selected areas of action under Article 31(4) of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115:

1. Climate change mitigation and adaptation
2. Soil protection and restoration, including soil fertility and nutrient management
3. Biodiversity protection and reduction of the use of plant protection products

The campaign aims to build awareness and understanding over time through repeated exposure across paid, owned, shared and earned media.

Consumers are the campaign's main target group, defined in two segments:

- **Sustainability-conscious consumers** (about 5-7 million in total, about 1 million in Austria and 5 million in Germany) skewed towards Generation Y and Z (age 18–40). They already take an interest in sustainable production and consumption.
- **Less sustainability-conscious consumers** (about 10 million in total, about 2 million in Austria and 8 million in Germany): They decide mainly on price, everyday quality and taste, and convenience, sustainability is a supporting trust factor for this group.

The evaluation body must ensure that survey samples reflect both consumer segments in Austria and Germany, in line with the target group sizes and segment definitions above.

The campaign will not assume that B2B or other professional groups have the same level of prior awareness. Retail and food-industry decision-makers, journalists, influencers, policymakers and scientists may already know about origin or sourcing, but their understanding of the action areas will vary. Consistent interview questions, knowledge checks and event feedback will help assess changes in awareness and understanding.

Overview of envisaged awareness change by target market and target group

Target market	Austria	Germany	Measurement
Consumers – two groups: sustainability-conscious & less sustainability-conscious (≈15 Mio in total)	Y1: +5% relative increase from baseline in aided awareness. Y3: target +15% relative increase from baseline in aided awareness.	Same targets, measured and reported separately for Germany.	Baseline and post-campaign consumer surveys (n ≥ 500 in AT, n ≥ 1.000 in DE), with Year 2 check. Questions will cover European origin and knowledge of impact linked to each action area. Results will be analysed by initial awareness level.

B2B partners	Y1: dialogue with 10+ decision-makers Y3: engagement with at least 30 by Y3. Expected change: better understanding of the impact of EU-grown soya on climate change mitigation, soil fertility, biodiversity protection, and use of plant protection products.	Same expected change; findings reported by market where the available sample allows.	Consistent baseline and follow-up interviews with retail and food-industry decision-makers.

1.4 Deliverables

1.4.1 Inception report, evaluation methodology & sampling plan

The Evaluation Partner shall deliver the inception report by **end of February 2027**. Donau Soja must approve it in writing before baseline fieldwork starts for the consumer and B2B components. The report shall cover:

- **Intervention logic and evaluation questions:** links inputs, outputs, results and impacts to the KPIs in *Section 1.2 Background & Role of Evaluation Partner*, incl. B2B KPIs. Covers effectiveness, relevance, efficiency, coherence and EU added value.
- **Indicators:** KPIs as SMART indicators, incl. data source, frequency, responsible party.
- **Attribution and comparison design:** Bidders should propose a methodology to measure the awareness targets against the T0 baseline. The targets themselves are fixed as set out in *Section 1.3 Scope of services*.
- **Sampling plan:** consumers aged 18–40, and B2B decision-makers in retail, food and feed industry (both DE/AT), with sample sizes, quotas, weighting and margin of error.
- **Instruments:** draft consumer questionnaires (including informative-return test questions) and B2B interview guides.
- **Data management plan:** data collection, storage, GDPR compliance (Regulation (EU) 2016/679), anonymisation and handover.
- **Work plan and dependencies:** fieldwork calendar, team roles and dependencies on data from Donau Soja and the Implementing Partner.

Donau Soja will review the inception report within 10 working days. The baseline survey may only start after written approval of the inception report.

1.4.2 Consumer surveys

The Evaluation Partner runs three consumer surveys and checks in Austria and Germany. It is solely responsible for their design, fieldwork and analysis.

Timing	Survey	Note	Minimum sample
Year 1 (Q1/Q2 2027)	T0 baseline survey	It sets the benchmarks for all consumer-facing KPIs.	n ≥ 500 in AT, n ≥ 1.000 in DE
Year 2 (Q1 2028)	Follow-up survey / Interim Check	to check whether the short-term target of +5% has been reached.	n ≥ 500 in AT, n ≥ 1.000 in DE
Year 3 (Q3 2029)	T1 post-campaign survey	It measures change against the baseline and the mid-term target of +15%.	n ≥ 500 in AT, n ≥ 1.000 in DE

Samples are not reduced in any wave. A smaller sample is not permitted.

1.4.3 B2B Market Recognition assessment

This component measures the B2B KPIs: at 30 decision-makers reached by Year 3. Stakeholder interviews will set the baseline in Q1 2027 and check whether the Year 3 target has been reached in Q3 2029. Interviewees are drawn primarily from participants in Donau Soja's B2B roundtables and other campaign events.

Definition of "reached": A decision-maker is counted as reached (engaged) if they have had a substantive dialogue with the campaign. This means they attended at least one B2B roundtable or campaign event and took part in at least one further interaction within 12 months, such as a follow-up meeting or written exchange. Attendance alone does not count. Participation is verified against event registrations, check-in lists and interaction records provided by Donau Soja. Expected change is measured separately through the baseline and follow-up interviews. All interviewees answer the same knowledge checks on EU-origin of soya and its impact on climate change mitigation, soil protection and fertility, biodiversity protection, and reducing the use of plant protection products. A respondent shows change if their follow-up answers improve on the baseline. Results are reported by target group and by country (Germany/Austria) where the sample allows.

Donau Soja and the Implementing Partner provide the contact details of participants. The Evaluation Partner approaches them directly. The Evaluation Partner is responsible for the qualitative design and delivery of this component. This includes:

- Designing the interview questionnaire and knowledge checks for each target group
- Recruiting interviewees
- Proposing a suitable approach to assess change beyond the campaign participants, including any comparison group, for Donau Soja's review
- Conducting the interviews and documenting the results in a structured way
- Analysing the results and reporting them by target group and country

Donau Soja reviews the interview guides and the proposed approach before fieldwork starts. The target groups, as defined in the campaign briefing, are decision-makers in retail and food manufacturing (purchasing, sourcing, sustainability), including major retailers and food manufacturers, as well as representatives of the feed industry and production.

1.5 Reporting & Timings

The Evaluation Partner provides its findings for each project year in an annual evaluation report. Each report includes a summary assessment per impact indicator and per target country, covering both the consumer surveys and the B2B Market Recognition assessment. The final evaluation report is delivered within two months after each consumer survey. The Evaluation Partner shall provide the final questionnaires, sampling documentation, anonymised datasets and relevant analysis files in the formats agreed with Donau Soja.

Deliverable	KPI area	Timing
Inception report: methodology, sampling plan, instruments	Both	Feb 2027
T0 Baseline survey implementation & findings report	Consumer Awareness	Q1/Q2 2027
Stakeholder interviews & report to establish baseline	B2B market recognition	Q1 2027
Follow-up survey: check of +5% awareness target of Y1	Consumer Awareness	Q1 2028
T1 post-campaign survey & report: comparison with T0 and follow-up, check of +15% Y3 target	Consumer Awareness	Q4 2029
Stakeholder interviews & report: check of 30 decision-makers reached (Y3 target)	B2B market recognition	Q3 2029

1.6 Ownership and intellectual property rights

The contract defines ownership and usage rights for all materials and deliverables. Tenderers must identify any pre-existing or third-party materials they plan to use, including sources, licence terms, permitted use and additional costs. The Implementing Partner must obtain all necessary permissions and provide proof on request. Donau Soja shall obtain full usage rights to all deliverables, datasets and reports.

1.7 Confidentiality and data protection

Tenderers should mark any parts of their offer they consider confidential and explain why. The Implementing Partner must protect confidential information and use it only for the contract. Data-protection terms will be agreed in the contract. Personal data shall be processed in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (GDPR). Data protection terms, including a data processing agreement where required, will be agreed in the contract.

PART 2. EVALUATION

How will the offer be assessed?

2.1 General principles and evaluation process

The contract will be awarded to the compliant tender achieving the highest overall score and offering the best value for money rather than selecting on price alone. The tender procedures will be published through Donau Soja website and channels as well as through publication on e-TED (Online platform for EU Tenders). In addition to publication on e-TED, Donau Soja may circulate the publicly available tender notice to relevant organisations and professional networks to increase its visibility and encourage broad competition. This additional outreach will not restrict participation or provide any organisation with preferential information. All interested tenderers will have equal access to the same tender documents, clarifications and amendments, and submission deadline through the designated procurement channel. Sufficient time for the preparation and submission of offers will be provided, with a minimum submission period of 28 days.

The evaluation will include:

- assessment of the tenderer's eligibility; and
- assessment of the quality and price of the compliant offers,

Only tenderers that meet all applicable eligibility criteria and submit a compliant offer will proceed to the evaluation of the quality and price criteria. The evaluation will be carried out in accordance with the principles of transparency, equal treatment, non-discrimination, absence of conflict of interest and best value for money.

2.2 Selection procedure, criteria and scoring

2.2.1 Eligibility requirements (pass/fail)

The eligibility requirements will be assessed on a pass/fail basis. Donau Soja will assess whether each tenderer has the necessary

- financial capacity- average annual turnover of at least EUR 50.000 over the last two financial years;
- legal and administrative eligibility
- compliance with the maximum available budget.

Tenderers must provide the documents and references specified in these Terms of Reference (see *Section 3.2 Documentation*). Tenderers must disclose all other entities

whose capacities they intend to rely on for the implementation of the contract, including subcontractors and, where known, cascade subcontractors. Such entities must be identified, together with their proposed role and contribution to the contract. The tenderer must demonstrate that the relevant resources and capacities will be available for the duration of the contract. Quality and price will be assessed separately. The final score will be calculated by adding the quality score and the price score.

2.2.2 Quality and price criteria (scored)

Tenders will be evaluated against the below quality and price criteria. The quality and price criteria serve to identify the most economically advantageous offer. Each proposal will be evaluated using a scoring system. Tenderers must provide a detailed quality offer addressing all points in the scope specifications and each of the quality and price criteria (see also *Section 3.2 Documentation*).

The evaluation will be based on the following weighting:

Quality and price component	Maximum score
Quality of the technical offer	60 points
Price evaluation	40 points
Total	**100 points**

Quality of the technical offer (60 points)

Criterion	What will be assessed	Max. points
Evaluation approach and methodology	Clear understanding of the assignment and a sound evaluation design for Germany and Austria, covering sampling, data collection, analysis and comparability of results. Includes conceptualisation, implementation of the assessment methodologies, and budget distribution per year (just in percentage, no absolute amount).	**30**
Work Plan & risk mitigation	Clear and feasible activities, timeline, deliverables, resources and coordination arrangements, with risk mitigation measures to meet all deadlines.	**10**
Proposed team	A suitable team with relevant qualifications and clearly defined roles.	**5**
Relevant references	Relevant experience in quantitative research and campaign evaluation. Previous experience in AGRIP funded campaigns.	**5**
Quality assurance and reporting	Measures to ensure data quality, data protection, reliable analysis and clear, useful reporting of results.	**10**
Total		**60**

Scoring method

Each criterion will be scored from 0 to 5. The points awarded for each criterion will be calculated as follows: Score awarded = score achieved from 0 to 5 × maximum points for the criterion ÷ 5

Score	Description
0	Not addressed or unacceptable
1	Very weak
2	Weak
3	Acceptable
4	Good
5	Excellent

Price evaluation (40 points)

The financial offer will be assessed separately from the technical offer using the following formula: **Price score = 40 × (lowest compliant financial offer / tenderer's compliant financial offer).** The maximum budget stated in these Terms of Reference is a ceiling and not a target price. Tenderers may submit an offer below the stated amount. A lower offer may be advantageous, provided that it is complete, realistic and sufficient to deliver all required services. The lowest-priced offer will not automatically be selected. The contract will be awarded to the tenderer whose offer achieves the highest overall score and provides the best combination of quality and price. Donau Soja may request clarification of an unusually low or otherwise unclear financial offer. Such clarification may not result in a material change to the submitted offer.

2.2.3 Evaluation Committee and safeguards

The evaluation will be conducted by an Evaluation Committee appointed by Donau Soja. Committee members will act impartially and independently and will be required to declare any actual, potential or perceived conflict of interest. The evaluation will be based exclusively on the selection criteria, award criteria and weightings published in these Terms of Reference. The Evaluation Committee will document the evaluation, the scores awarded and the considerations supporting the final award decision in accordance with the applicable procurement requirements.

PART 3: SUBMISSION OF OFFERS

3.1 Submission information

Offers must be submitted electronically to news@donausoja.org no later than **03/12/2026**. Questions must be submitted to news@donausoja.org by **06/11/2026**. Offers must be submitted in English, in EUR, and must remain valid for at least **60 calendar days** after the submission deadline. Late offers may not be considered.

3.2 Documentation

To enable the separate assessment of eligibility, quality and price, tenderers shall submit the following documents:

A. Eligibility and administrative documents

- **Signed submission letter**, including the tenderer's full legal name, registered address, contact details and name of the authorised representative.
- **Proof of legal registration**, such as an extract from the relevant company, association or business register, or an equivalent document, incl. ownership information.
- **Proof of financial capacity**, demonstrating an average annual turnover of at least EUR 50,000 over the last two completed financial years. This may be provided through annual accounts, official financial statements or a statement certified by an accountant.
- **Signed declaration of eligibility, independence and absence of conflict of interest**, confirming that the tenderer:
  1. is legally established and authorised to provide the required services;
  2. is not subject to insolvency, liquidation or similar proceedings; and
  3. has no actual, potential or perceived conflict of interest.

- **Declaration of other entities**, identifying all entities whose capacities the tenderer intends to rely on, including subcontractors and, where known, cascade subcontractors. The declaration shall specify their role and contribution to the contract. Where the tenderer relies on their capacities, a signed commitment confirming the availability of the relevant resources shall also be provided.

B. Technical offer including

- **Evaluation approach and methodology**, including the proposed approach to the quantitative evaluation in Germany and Austria, sampling, data collection, data analysis and comparability of results. Include the budget distribution per year as percentages only, with no absolute amounts. If the budget is not distributed equally across the years, provide an explanation.
- **Work plan and feasibility**, including the proposed activities, timeline, deliverables, resources, risk mitigation measures and coordination arrangements.
- **Proposed team**, including the names, roles and short CVs of the key team members.
- **Relevant references**, minimum 3, presented in a concise table including the client, assignment, period, scope of work, role of the tenderer and relevant markets. The tenderer shall indicate any previous experience with AGRIP-funded campaigns.
- **Quality assurance, data management and reporting**, including measures to ensure data quality, data protection, reliable analysis and clear reporting of results.
- **Technical offer structure:** Tenderers shall structure the technical offer in the order of the quality criteria set out in *Section 2.2.2 Quality and price criteria (scored)*, using the following headings: (1) Evaluation approach and methodology; (2) Work plan and risk mitigation; (3) Proposed team; (4) Relevant references; (5) Quality assurance and reporting. Each section shall explicitly address all requirements of *Section 1.3 Scope of services* and *Section 1.4 Deliverables* that fall under that heading. The technical offer shall not exceed 20 A4 pages (excluding CVs in Annex and reference table), in 11-point font with single line spacing. Offers exceeding this limit will be evaluated only up to the page limit.

C. Financial offer

- The financial offer shall be submitted separately using **Annex 1 – Financial Offer**.

3.3 Annexes

Annex 1: Financial offer

Service providers are requested to use this template for preparing their financial offer. In doing so service providers confirm they are aware of the following facts:

- The maximum budget available to the evaluation body for the three years of the campaign is EUR 121.550,00 net + VAT if applicable. The budget foreseen in the project planning is distributed equally across the years 2027 to 2029. If your offer proposes a different distribution, please include a short explanation of the reasons in technical offer.
- The budget stated covers all costs, incl. the fee for the Evaluation Partner and all direct external costs required to deliver the deliverables. The offered price is all-inclusive. This includes any additional costs the contractor may incur in performing the contract, such as overheads. If the deliverables include pre-existing rights, the service provider must include the cost of licensing these rights to Donau Soja in the total price.
- Prices must be quoted in EUR. It is for the service provider to bear the risks or the benefits deriving from any variation. All prices must clearly state the applicable VAT, where relevant.
- Any offer exceeding the maximum available budget will be rejected / treated as non-compliant, subject to the applicable rules.

	Scope of Services	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Total (EUR) net	VAT, if applicable
	TOTAL (net) used for evaluation					
	Name					
	Date					
	Signature					